

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/893,211	06/28/2001	Tatsuo Ito	35.C15629	5019		
5514	7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER			
	ICK CELLA HARPER	RUDY, AT	RUDY, ANDREW J			
	ELLER PLAZA , NY 10112	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		3627			

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			09/893,211		ITO, TATSUO					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
			Andrew Joseph	ı Rudy	3627					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appe	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress				
WHIC - Exten after 3 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply preceived by the Office later than three months dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.130 munication. tatutory period wi y will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS C 6(a). In no event, ho ill apply and will expir cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time te SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I.  lety filed  the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status										
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 23 Jai	nuary 2006.							
· —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition	on of Claims									
4)🖂	. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20 and 25-35</u> is/are pending in the application.									
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20 and 25-35</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application	on Papers									
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	•							
10) 🔲 🗆	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign p	oriority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
, –	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
;	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	on for a list o	of the certified o	opies not receive	d.					
Attachment	(s)									
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	Interview Summary						
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date			6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/893,211

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 20 and 25-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 20, line 3, the phrase "used upon printing in a printing device" is not clear as to its meaning.

Claim 20, lines 6, 10, the phrase "service point" is not present from the descriptive portion of the specification nor drawings and is not clear as to its meaning.

Claims 28 and 32 contain similar instances as noted above.

Support for each noted phrase must be clearly pointed out to obviate this rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

4. Claims 20 and 25-35, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doi, US 6,471,314.

Doi discloses, e.g. Figs. 1-13, an on-line shopping method for determining the amount of consumable printing agents and receiving the status thereof. Doi does not disclose a service point. However, as understood, service points are common knowledge in the on-line shopping printing art. To have provided such for Doi would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

- 5. Further references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627